United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/560,355	12/12/2005	Takashi Ogino	4495-091	8890
22429 7590 01/02/2008 LOWE HAUPTMAN HAM & BERNER, LLP				INER
1700 DIAGONAL ROAD SUITE 300 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			BRITTAIN, JAMES R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3677	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/02/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<u> </u>		Application No.	
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/560,355	OGINO ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		James R. Brittain	3677
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a) <u></u> 	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12 De</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
	·	x paite Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 45	5 O.G. 215.
Dispositi 	ion of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Applicati	on Papers		
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 December 2005</u> is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	re: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes objected are alonged as a complex drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See so is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)⊠ a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 12122005 & 07282006.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te

10/560,355

Art Unit: 3677

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed December 12, 2005 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each nonpatent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered. Form PCT/DO/EO/903 doesn't indicate that copies of the documents cited in the international search report are present in the national stage file. While applicant indicates in the IDS of December 12, 2005 that copies "are believed to be in the file", applicant has no basis for such a statement since PCT/DO/EO/903 fails to list copies of the documents as being in the file. The information disclosure statement filed December 12, 2005 fails to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609 because of the above reasons. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered as to the merits. Applicant is advised that the date of any re-submission of any item of information contained in this information disclosure statement or the submission of any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the statement, including all certification requirements for statements under 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609.05(a).

Drawings

Figures 11-15 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37

Art Unit: 3677

Page 3

CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application.

The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37

CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not

accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective

action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The embodiments

are numbered in the Brief Description of the Drawings in a manner different from the Best Mode

for Carrying Out the Invention, for instance the brief description associates embodiment 6 with

the figure 9 (page 11) while the Best Mode section associates embodiment 4 [0058]. This is

unclear and must be corrected. The use of claim numbers in the specification on pages 5-11 is

improper as the specification provides support for the claims, not the other way around. The use

of claim numbers in the specification must be deleted.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

the invention.

Art Unit: 3677

The following terms are unclear in context and render the claims in which they occur indefinite: "wounded" (claim 1, line 2) and "at and the end" (claim 1, line 9; claim 2, line 10; claim 3, line 10).

Many terms lack antecedent basis and render the claims indefinite as they make the claims appear incomplete: "the band body's outer-layer portion" (claim 2, line 3; claim 3, line 3); "the inner-layer portion of the band body" (claim 2, lines 3-4; claim 3, lines 3-4); "the side of the engagement hole" (claim 5, line 2; claim 8, line 2; claim 9, line 2); "the rear face of the engagement pawl" (claim 5, line 3; claim 8, lines 2-3; claim 9, lines 2-3); "the end face at the rear of said engagement hole" (claim 5, lines 4-5; claim 8, lines 3-4; claim 9, lines 3-4); "the side of the slit" (claim 6, line 2; claim 10, line 2); "the back face of the engagement pawl" (claim 6, line 3; claim 10, line 3); "the end face at the rear of said slit" (claim 6, line 4; claim 10, line 4); "the end-section top portion of said outer-layer portion" (claim 7, line 2; claim 11, lines 1-2; claim 12, lines 1-2); "the ring-diameter of the band body" (claim 7, line 3-4; claim 11, line 3; claim 12, line 3); and "the end face in the clamping direction of said engagement hole" (claims 13-16). Claim 4 is indefinite because it depends from an indefinite claim.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-16 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James R. Brittain whose telephone number is (571) 272-7065. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30-2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, J. Gay can be reached on (571) 272-7029. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/James R. Brittain/ Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3677

JRB